UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME Office of Audit and Investigations



AUDIT

OF

UNDP Pakistan

Peace and Development Programme in Pakistan (Directly Implemented Project No. 74261)

Report No. 988 Issue Date: 19 June 2013



Report on the audit of UNDP Pakistan Peace and Development Programme in Pakistan (Project No. 74261) Executive Summary

From 18 October 2012 to 15 January 2013, the Office of Audit and Investigations (OAI) of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), through Ernst & Young Ford Rhodes Sidat Hyder - Pakistan (the audit firm), conducted an audit of the Peace and Development Programme in Pakistan, Project No. 74261 (the Project), which is directly implemented and managed by the UNDP Country Office in Pakistan (the Office). The audit was conducted under the general supervision of OAI in conformance with the *International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing*. The last audit of the Office was conducted by OAI in 2012.

The Project reported expenditure totalling \$9.9 million during the period from 1 January 2010 to 31 March 2012. The Project was funded by Japan.

Audit scope and objectives

The audit work covered financial transactions as well as internal controls and systems for the purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the financial statements present fairly, in all material aspects, the Project's operations, as well as assess compliance with UNDP regulations, rules, policies and procedures and donor agreements. The audit covered the Project's Statement of Expenditure (Combined Delivery Report) for the period from 1 January 2010 to 31 March 2012 and Statement of Assets as of 31 March 2012. It also reviewed the relevant systems, procedures and practices in place as they relate to the Project, in the areas of: organization and staffing, project management, human resources management, financial and cash management, procurement, asset management, information systems and general administration.

Audit rating

Based on the audit report and corresponding management letter submitted by the audit firm, OAI assessed the management of the Project as **partially satisfactory**, which means "Internal controls, governance and risk management processes were generally established and functioning, but needed improvement. One or several issues were identified that may negatively affect the achievement of the objectives of the audited entity." The details of the audit results are presented in Figures 1 and 2.

Figure 1: Summary results of the financial audit

Project Expenditure			Project Assets		
Amount (in \$ '000)	Opinion	NFI (in \$ '000)	Amount (in \$ '000)	Opinion	
9,859	Qualified	107	742	Unqualified	

NFI = Net Financial Impact

The audit firm qualified its opinion on project expenditure due to the following:

(a) Expenses amounting to \$107,000 were not recorded in the Combined Delivery Report for the period ending 31 March 2012. This amount related to expenses which were incurred by responsible parties during the reporting periods, but were not recorded in the Combined Delivery Report since the responsible parties were late in submitting financial reports to the Office.



(b) Expenses amounting to \$3.389 million had been classified into the incorrect chart of accounts. Included in these misclassifications were various types of expenses amounting to \$1.178 million, \$2.169 million and \$42,000 for the periods ending 31 December 2010, 31 December 2011 and 31 March 2012, respectively, and which had been incorrectly recorded in the 'grants to institutions' account.

Figure 2: Internal controls and systems audit ratings summary

	Audit Areas	Not Assessed/ Not Applicable	Unsatisfactory	Partially Satisfactory	Satisfactory
1.	Organization and staffing				
2.	Project management				
3.	Human resources management				
4.	Financial and cash management				
5.	Procurement				
6.	Asset management				
7.	Information systems				
8.	General administration				

Key issues and recommendations

The audit raised six issues and resulted in no recommendations, mainly because the Project had already ended. Among the issues raised in this report, the most significant are presented below:

Project management (Issue 1) Absence of effective evaluation of civil society organizations for award of Project Cooperation Agreements. The capacity assessment tool used to screen the eligible civil society organizations for the technical assessment did not specify any criteria for providing scores or ratings. Further, the language used in the capacity assessment reports was occasionally exaggerated. The audit firm identified nine instances where the technical assessment team allocated high scores to the civil society organizations despite their lack of prior experience in the selected geographic locations and thematic areas of award, and lack of adequate staff. Project Cooperation Agreements amounting to \$1.3 million were awarded to these civil society organizations.

Human resources management (Issue 3) Lack of controls to detect addition or deletion of employees in the payroll system. The Human Resources Associate can add or delete employees in the payroll system. System generated notifications for additions or deletions of employees from the payroll could not be generated from the beginning of the Project until January 2012. There were no substitute manual controls available to mitigate the risks associated with the payroll system prior to January 2012.



Management's comments

The Resident Representative agreed with all six issues raised.

Antoine Khoury
Officer-in-Charge
Office of Audit and Investigations